```
1
    DEBORAH CONNOR, Chief
    Money Laundering and Asset Recovery Section (MLARS)
    MARY BUTLER, Chief, International Unit
 2
    ADAM J. SCHWARTZ, Deputy Chief
 3
    Criminal Division
    United States Department of Justice
       1400 New York Avenue, N.W., 10th Floor
 4
       Washington, DC 20530
       Telephone: (202) 514-1263
 5
       Email: Adam.schwartz@usdoj.gov
    TRACY WILKISON
 6
    Acting United States Attorney
    STEVEN R. WELK
 7
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
 8
       312 North Spring Street, 14th Floor
       Los Angeles, California 90012
 9
       Telephone: (213) 894-3391
       Facsimile:
                   (213) 894-0142
10
       Email: Steven.welk@usdoj.gov
11
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
12
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14
15
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                       No. CV 11-3582-GW-SS
16
              Plaintiff,
                                        Consolidated With CV 13-9169-GW-SS
17
              v.
                                       Hon. George H. Wu
18
   ONE WHITE CRYSTAL-COVERED "BAD
                                       MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
                                       AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
   TOUR" GLOVE AND OTHER MICHAEL
19
                                       GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO ENFORCE
   JACKSON MEMORABILIA;
                                        SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
   REAL PROPERTY LOCATED ON
20
   SWEETWATER MESA ROAD IN MALIBU,
                                       Hearing Date: July 8, 2021
21
   CALIFORNIA; ONE 2011 FERRARI 599
                                        Time:
                                                       8:30 a.m.
                                       Place:
                                                       Courtroom No. 9D
   GTO,
22
              Defendants.
23
24
```

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. JURISDICTION

25

26

27

28

The United States and claimants Teodoro Nguema Obiang Mangue and Sweetwater Malibu California LLC (the "Parties") settled the instant

matter in October 2014 through the filing of a Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement"). (Dkt. 129-1).

Paragraph 50 of the Settlement Agreement states that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement agreement. See id.

Pursuant to Paragraph 51 of the Settlement Agreement, in the event that the Parties to the Settlement Agreement cannot resolve their disputes regarding the interpretation of one or more terms of the Settlement Agreement, a party may move the Court for relief as authorized by law or equity. See id.

II. BACKGROUND

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, claimants Teodoro Nguema Obiang Mangue ("Mr. Obiang Mangue") and Sweetwater Malibu LLC, a shell company Mr. Obiang Mangue controls, agreed to the liquidation of certain specified property, with a portion of the proceeds of the liquidation: 1) being deposited into a U.S. Government account that would, at the agreement of the Parties, be dispersed later to a charitable organization (the "Net Settlement Proceeds"); or 2) being forfeited to the United States. See id. at ¶¶ 26 and 27.

Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, if the Parties cannot agree on a charitable organization to receive the Net Settlement Proceeds, the Parties are to form a three person panel (the "Panel") consisting of a representative selected by the United States, a representative selected by claimants, and a chair selected jointly by the Parties. If the Parties cannot agree on a chair, then the Court may direct the Parties to mediate the issue or exercise its discretion and select a panel chair. See id. at ¶ 26. After almost seven years of trying to negotiate an agreed use of the Net

Settlement Proceeds for the benefit of the people of Equatorial Guinea without success, the Parties agreed to the use of a panel to make the decision. The United States named its panelist, claimants named a panelist, and both provided names for the Court to consider for the Panel chair. See id. at \P 26. The Court selected a panel chair on January 21, 2021. (Dkt. 154).

Pursuant to Paragraph 26 of the Settlement Agreement,

All decisions of the Panel will be decided by a majority vote of its members. All decisions of the panel are final and the Parties waive all rights to contest, appeal or otherwise challenge the Panel's decisions so long as such decisions are consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

(Dkt. 127-1) at 19.

Between February 2021 and May 2021, the Panel met multiple times. After considering several different causes and organizations, the Panel, at the express request of the president of Equatorial Guinea, decided to use a portion of the Net Settlement Proceeds to vaccinate the population of Equatorial Guinea against the Covid-19 virus. On May 4, 2021, the Panel members unanimously agreed to approve a final decision allocating \$19.25 million to the United Nations to purchase and distribute Covid-19 vaccines to the people of the Republic of Equatorial Guinea via the COVAX facility. (See Ex. 1 (Stevenson Decl.), ¶ 7). The Panel chair and the United States representative signed the final decision. (See Ex. 1 (Stevenson Decl.), ¶ 8 and Ex. B thereto).

Instead of signing the panel decision as the other two panel members did, Mr. Obiang Mangue's panel representative requested additional time to review the decision. Ten days after orally

approving the decision, Mr. Obiang Mangue's panel representative unilaterally requested the panel meet again and vote on a new proposal to vaccinate the population of Equatorial Guinea through a different organization. (See Ex. 1 (Stevenson Decl.), \P 10 and Ex. C thereto).

In addition to the Net Settlement Proceeds, Mr. Obiang Mangue is also holding an additional \$6.4 million attributable to the sale of his Malibu mansion. After the sale of Mr. Obiang Mangue's Malibu mansion pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, allegations arose that the real estate agent brokering the sale, who ultimately purchased the property, breached his fiduciary duty to the sellers and had multiple conflicts of interest. These allegations led to the filings of a civil suit. See Sweetwater Malibu CA LLC et al. v. Umansky et al., No. 2:19-cv-01848-GW-SS (C.D. Cal.) ("Realtor Suit").

In March 2019, the parties to the Realtor Suit announced they had reached an agreement in principle to resolve the Realtor Suit by providing Mr. Obiang Mangue with an undisclosed sum, but needed to discuss the matter with the United States given that "it is unclear whether DOJ approval is required for the settlement proceeds to be used in this manner instead of being deposited with the proceeds of the sale where they could only be used with the agreement of DOJ." (Realtor Suit Dkt. 49 at 1). During a December 12, 2019 status conference, counsel for Mr. Obiang Mangue represented to the Court that the Realtor Suit settlement funds would "go into my trust account, which would then be used and my clients would coordinate with the U.S. Ambassador for Equatorial New [sic] Guinea on the use of those funds." (Dec. 12, 2019 Hearing Transcript at 4). The

United States stated that based on discussions with counsel for Mr. Obiang Mangue, it did not object to the plan. See id. After counsel for Mr. Obiang Mangue deducted legal fees allegedly owed, the Realtor Suit settlement funds amounts to \$6.4 million.

III. ARGUMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. Mr. Obiang Mangue's Interference with the Distribution of \$19.25 million in Net Settlement Proceeds

When the Panel decision directing \$19.25 million to the United Nation for a Covid-19 vaccination program was circulated for signature, Mr. Obiang Mangue's Panel representative signaled a reluctance to proceed as agreed. For example, instead of signing the panel decision as the other two panel members did, Mr. Obiang Mangue's Panel representative requested additional time to review the (See Ex. 1 (Stevenson Decl.), ¶ 9). Mr. Obiang Manque's decision. Panel representative unilaterally requested the Panel meet again and vote on a new proposal to vaccinate the population of Equatorial Guinea through a different organization. (See Ex. 1 (Stevenson Decl.), ¶ 10 and Ex. C thereto). Putting aside the explicit language of the Settlement Agreement that the majority decision of the Panel is final, the Panel had already investigated different options to deliver Covid-19 vaccinations to the people of Equatorial Guinea and determined that the United Nations plan was not only the most cost effective, but also would provide Covid-19 vaccinations the fastest. To the extent Mr. Obiang Mangue's Panel representative See id. raises additional concerns such as the specific Covid-19 vaccine to be used, those issues can be resolved through direct discussions with the United Nations, and should in no way impact the Panel's decision

to distribute \$19.25 million to the United Nations for Covid-19 vaccination efforts in Equatorial Guinea.

Unfortunately, the behavior of Mr. Obiang Mangue's Panel representative is not atypical. Since 2016, Mr. Obiang Mangue and his representatives have repeatedly negotiated a potential use for the Net Settlement Proceeds based on proposals developed and suggested by the United States, only to abruptly change course at the last minute. First, in 2017 and 2018 the Parties engaged in negotiations with the United Nations Development Programme to run programs to improve access to basic services and engage in capacity development. The United Nations Development Programme was set to begin in March 2018, but Mr. Obiang Mangue refused to sign the program agreement or allow any representative of the government of the Republic of Equatorial Guinea to sign the agreement. Second, from November 2020 through January 2021 the Parties discussed allocating the Net Settlement Proceeds to two charitable organizations for education efforts and Covid-19 and malaria vaccination efforts. (See Ex. 1 (Stevenson Decl.), \P 3). The Parties negotiated a memorandum of understanding to distribute the funds, only to have Mr. Obiang demand unreasonable changes at the last minute. (See Ex. 1 (Stevenson Decl.), \P 3 and Ex. A thereto). This is what led the Parties to resort to the Panel to distribute the Net Settlement Proceeds.

While the Settlement Agreement contemplates that the Panel can unilaterally deliver funds for the benefit of the people of Equatorial Guinea, the fact is that without the consent of the government of the Republic of Equatorial Guinea, no organization will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

be able to operate in country to deliver much needed services. Given the repeated actions of Mr. Obiang Mangue to thwart multiple desirable programs, the United States requests that the Court affirm the May 4, 2021 Panel decision to provide the United Nations with \$19.25 million for Covid-19 vaccinations. If Mr. Obiang Mangue continues to interfere with the United Nations program, then the United States requests this Court modify the Settlement Agreement to allow the United Nations to use the funds at its discretion in a manner consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

B. Mr. Obiang Mangue's Distribution of Settlement Funds Contradicts Statements Made to This Court and Should be Corrected

The United States also seeks to enforce the Settlement Agreement with respect to the \$6.4 million held in a lawyer trust account on behalf of the Government of Equatorial Guinea. These funds are additional Net Settlement Proceeds related to the disposition of Mr. Obiang Mangue's Malibu mansion. While the Parties initially agreed to permit Mr. Obiang Mangue to maintain control of these funds (Dec. 12, 2019 Hearing Transcript at 4), Mr. Obiang Mangue's actions necessitate bringing these funds fully within the framework of the Settlement Agreement.

The United States believes that the Realtor Suit settlement funds should be subject to the Settlement Agreement. The Realtor Suit was derived from the Settlement Agreement, as the terms of the sale of Mr. Obiang Mangue's Malibu mansion and the use of the proceeds of that sale were specified in the Settlement Agreement. (Dkt. 127-1 at ¶¶ 7-19, 26-31). Any harm resulting from the sale of Mr. Obiang Mangue's Malibu mansion as part of the Settlement

Agreement was born by the Parties to the Settlement Agreement, and therefore any funds recovered from the Realtor Suit should fall under the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Counsel for Mr. Obiang Mangue acknowledged this point when he sought permission to deviate from the Settlement Agreement to keep the Realtor suit settlement funds in his trust account, but affirmed that the funds would be used for the benefit of the people of Equatorial Guinea in coordination with the United States. (Realtor Suit Dkt. 49 at 1; Dec. 12, 2019 Hearing Transcript at 4).

Unfortunately, rather than retain the \$6.4 million in his trust account and coordinate its use with the United States as counsel for Mr. Obiang Manque represented to the Court he would do (Realtor Suit Dkt. 49 at 1), counsel for Mr. Obiang Mangue took steps to disburse the funds without coordinating with the United States and in a manner inconsistent with the Settlement Agreement. First, counsel for Mr. Obiang Mangue issued a check directly to Mr. Obiang for a portion of the Realtor Suit settlement proceeds. (See Ex. 1 (Stevenson Decl.), \P 4). When he could not cash the check, a member of the government of Equatorial Guinea showed the check to the U.S. Ambassador to Equatorial Guinea, and asked if the ambassador could investigate why Mr. Obiang Mangue was having difficulty removing the funds from the United States. See id. Next, counsel for Mr. Obiang Mangue tried to transfer the funds in their entirety to the treasury of the government of Equatorial Guinea. As part of the process, all \$6.4 million was transferred to the trust account of U.S.-based counsel

for Equatorial Guinea, where they currently reside. 1 (See Ex. 1 (Stevenson Decl.), ¶ 5). The United States was never consulted regarding the attempt to transfer Realtor Suit settlement funds directly to Mr. Obiang Mangue or to the government of Equatorial Guinea.

Counsel for Mr. Obiang Mangue's actions run directly counter to the requirements of the Settlement Agreement, which stipulates that none of the settlement proceeds should be provided to, among others, the government of Equatorial Guinea or individuals employed by the government of Equatorial Guinea, including Mr. Obiang Mangue. (Dkt. 127-1 at ¶ 31). As a result, the United States requests that this Court find that the Realtor Suit settlement proceeds are subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and that they should be transferred to the United States and disbursed pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

TV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the United States respectfully requests that this Court end the almost seven year stalemate caused by Mr. Obiang Mangue and enforce the settlement agreement and enter an Order: 1) recognizing the Panel decision of May 4, 2021 as final and permitting its implementation; 2) if the United Nations cannot implement the Panel decision, allow the United Nations to disburse the funds it receives pursuant to the Settlement Agreement at its discretion; and 3) direct counsel for Equatorial Guinea to deposit the funds it is holding on behalf of Mr. Obiang or the Republic of

¹ The Parties agree that counsel for Equatorial Guinea shall retain the \$6.4 million until ordered to disburse the funds by this Court.

Case 2:11-cv-03582-GW-SS Document 161-1 Filed 05/24/21 Page 10 of 10 Page ID #:3896

Equatorial Guinea into an escrow account pursuant to instructions provided by the United States, to be distributed pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. A proposed Order is attached. Dated: May 24, 2021 Respectfully submitted, DEBORAH CONNOR Chief, MLARS TRACY WILKISON Acting United States Attorney ADAM J. SCHWARTZ Deputy Chief, MLARS STEVEN R. WELK Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA